Integrated stratigraphy of the Ypresian–Lutetian transition in northern Tunisia: Correlation and paleoenvironmental reconstruction
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Abstract

Micropaleontological, mineralogical and geochemical data of the Ypresian–Lutetian transition at the Sejnen section, Tunisia, allowed us to trace a precise correlation with the Global Stratotype Section and Point for the Ypresian/Lutetian boundary recently defined at Gorrondatxe, Spain. The planktic foraminifera assemblages are diversified and enable the biozones of Acarinina pentacamerata (E6), Acarinina cuneicamerata (E7a), Turborotalia frontosa (E7b), Guembelitrioides nuttalli (E8) and Globigerinatheka kugleri/Morozovella aragonensis (E9) to be identified, revealing a hiatus across the E8/E9 boundary. Comparison with the boundary stratotype indicates that the Ypresian/Lutetian boundary in the Sejnen section is located near the base of the E7b zone, just above the first appearance of the species T. frontosa. In the Sejnen section, there are several events identical to those recorded in the boundary stratotype at the Gorrondatxe section. In the middle of this interval, the species diversity of planktic foraminifera is the first to decline, followed by that of the benthic foraminifera in the two sections. Furthermore, taxa with calcareous test peter out while those with agglutinated test reach their peak. There is a marked fall in carbonates in the two sections; while also variations in clay minerals, smectite and kaolinite are very abundant. In the Sejnen section, smectite is the dominant mineral and silica reached its peak. All these data indicate that in northern Tunisia at the Ypresian–Lutetian transition, the marine environment was deep and bathyal, with low energy, oxygenated and characterized by a warm tropical to subtropical climate. Consequently, the Sejnen section may be a suitable section to be defined as auxiliary section (=hypostratotype).
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1. Introduction

The International Subcommission on Paleogene Stratigraphy (ISPS) set up a working group to select the Global Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the base of the Lutetian Stage. The stratotype of the Ypresian/Lutetian (Y/L) boundary should be defined at a level stratigraphically close to the base of the classic Lutetian Stage, which is the lowest stage of the middle Eocene (Jenkins and Luterbacher, 1992). Since 1992, the most active members of the working group have visited and sampled several sections in Italy, Israel, Tunisia, Morocco, Mexico, Argentina and several regions in Spain, in order to find a suitable candidate to define the GSSP. Most of the sections found are not suitable as they present stratigraphic hiatuses, facies that are inappropriate for correlation, tectonic complications and other problems (Gonzalvo et al., 2001; Payros et al., 2006), but eventually a suitable candidate was found and the GSSP was defined at the Gorrondatxe section, Spain (Molina et al., 2011).

According to Luterbacher et al. (2004) and Steurbaut (2006), the Ypresian Stage was introduced by Dumont in 1849 to include clay and sandy facies strata located between the continental to marino-littoral Landenian deposits and the marine Brussels Sands, in Belgium. The Ypresian Stage was defined by the associations of calcareous nanofossils (Martini, 1971; Vandenberghe et al., 1998; Aubry, 1983, 1986), the base of the Lutetian stage is located within the calcareous nanoplankton zone NP14 of Martini (1971), near the boundary of zones CP12a/CP12b of Okada and Bukry (1980); the stage extends to NP15 and the lower part of NP16. Regarding magnetostratigraphy, the basal deposits of the Lutetian stage stratotype have been correlated...
with the Earnley Formation of England, whose base corresponds to the Chron C21r (Ali and Hailwood, 1995).

Regarding planktic foraminiferal biostratigraphy, Hooberghs (1992) studied two sections of the base of the Brussels Formation in the Brussels-Leuven region, which allowed him to identify planktic foraminifera attributable to the P9 Zone (=Acarina aspen-sis) of Blow (1979) in one of the sections, and to the P10 Zone (=Turborotalia frontosa) in the other section. Micropaleontologists have proposed the first appearance of *Hantkenina nuttalli* to define the Y/L boundary (Toumarkine and Luterbacher, 1985; Berggren et al., 1995); consequently, this event was used to place the Y/L boundary on the Agost section (Gonzalvo and Molina, 1998; Molina et al., 2000; Larrañaga et al., 2008; Ortiz et al., 2008), the Fortuna section (Molina et al., 2006; Ortiz and Thomas, 2006) and the Gorron datxe section (Orue-Etxebarria et al., 2006; Bernaola et al., 2006; Payros et al., 2006, 2007, 2009a, 2009b). Nevertheless, the ISPS working group demonstrated that the first appearance of *H. nuttalli* is more recent than the base of the classic Lutetian Basin of Paris (Orue-Etxebarria et al., 2009).

After intensive work, the ISPS working group defined the GSSP for the base of the Lutetian Stage at the Gorron daxte section, near Bilbao, Spain (Molina et al., 2011). The micropaleontological event that corresponds most closely to the base of the historical Lutetian Stage was chosen as the marker for the GSSP. This seemed to be the latest occurrence of the calcareous nanofossil *Blackites inflatus* (CP12a/b boundary), just above the latest occurrence of the planktic foraminifera *T. frontosa*, which is in the middle of polarity Chron C21r. This event coincides with a dark marly level, which has been interpreted as the maximum flooding surface of a depositional sequence that may be global in extent (Bernaola et al., 2006; Payros et al., 2007, 2009a,b; Orue-Etxebarria et al., 2009; Rodriguez-Tovar et al., 2010; Ortiz et al., 2011; Molina et al., 2011; Payros et al., 2012).

The aim of this study is to identify the Y/L boundary at the Sejnen section in northern Tunisia, to characterize its environment of deposition and to correlate it with the GSSP of the Y/L defined at the Gorron datxe section in Spain. Here, we present an integrated stratigraphy of foraminifera, clay minerals and geochemistry, taking into account our previous studies in Spain (Molina et al., 2011), in order to find suitable sections which could serve to propose candidates as auxiliary sections or hyposтратotypes.

### 2. Geographical and geological setting

The section is located in northern Tunisia, in the northern Alpine region with outcrops sediments spanning from the Triassic to the Oligocene, deposited in a normal stratigraphic succession (Fig. 1). Near the Triassic diapiric structure, the outcrops are dissected by an EW fault system. The Sejnen section is located in the vicinity of the isochronal folds to the north of the geological map of Hedhil No. 11, scale 1/50,000 at the geographical coordinates: 37°35′58″ N, 9°21′31″20″ E. The section is easily accessible by the main road GP7 from the El Aouena village to Sejnen town and it is placed on the eastern side of a narrow track taken approximately 2 km after the intersection of El Aouena.

The Y–L transition sediments are included in the syncline of the Sidi Abdallah Ben Said region toward the south of the Sejnen town, specifically the gray marls of Souar Formation. These marls are well exposed along the road linking the towns of Mateur and Sejnen (Fig. 1). The total thickness of the section is about 125 m. It is composed of hemimetric calcareous beds, gray-beige in color, which are overlain by gray marls rich in iron oxides and sometimes characterized by a crumbly appearance. These marls sometimes become compact and rich in carbonates at the base and the top.

### 3. Materials and methods

On the field, twenty marl samples were collected across the Y/L boundary to study the planktic and the small benthic foraminifera, the clay minerals and the chemical elements. In the laboratory, the marls samples were previously dried in a stove at a temperature below 50 °C. Of this dry material, 300 g were soaked in the tap water and H2O2 for 2–3 days. The washing was conducted on an AFNOR sieves, column whose meshes were successively 315 μm, 100 μm and 63 μm. When necessary the tests were cleaned using an ultrasound device. The residues obtained were dried in an oven at less than 50 °C and then sorted under a binocular microscope in order to study the foraminifera. The photographic images were made using the scanning electron microscope (SEM) at the laboratory of the Tunisian Enterprise of Petroleum Activities (ETAP).

The determination of the CaCO3 content of the clays was performed by the Bernard method. This test measures the volume of CO2 released during the decomposition of 0.5 g of finely ground clay and dried with 10 ml of hydrochloric acid (10% HCl). Mineralogical analyses were performed by X-ray diffraction on the total rock and on the clay fraction <2 μm. Previously crumbled clay particles, freed from coarse material through a sieve of 63 microns, underwent decarbonation, elimination of organic matter and deflocculation by at least 3 cycles of centrifugation for 10 min at 2500 rev/min until obtaining a pellet. The cloudy supernatant then sedimented freely on smooth glass slides and was analyzed by diffractometry in 3 distinct states: normal, glycolated and baked at 550 °C (Chamley, 1971).

Chemical analyses of the clays were performed according the method of spectrochemical analysis by X-ray fluorescence, which is based on characteristics of the radiation emitted by the chemical elements of a sample when excited by a suitable source. The direct excitation by electron bombardment is generally used in electron microscopes whereas radioisotope sources and the protons generators are commonly associated with the analysis technique by dispersive energy. This method required the preparation of clay pellets.

### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Description of the benthic and planktic foraminifera assemblages

Observation under a binocular microscope of residues from different samples from the basal marls, approximately 72 m thick (Sj.1 to Sj.11) revealed an abundant foraminifera association, which was diversified and well preserved. The planktic foraminifera species belong mainly to the genera *Morozovella, Acarinina, Chilognemelina, Pseudohastigerina, Subbotina, Turborotalia, Globigerinatheka, Pseudoglobigerinatheka and Hantkenina* (Fig. 2, Pl. 1). Sometimes tests are filled, apertures obscured and tests abraded at their margins. Benthic foraminifera are represented by the genera *Gyroidinoides, Cibicidoides, Eponides, Anomalinaoides, Bulimina, Dorothis, Dentalina, Loxostomoides, Spiroplectamina, Vaginulinospis, Stilostomella, Nuttalides, Subreophax and Nodosaria* (Fig. 3). These levels are overlain by 10 m of gray marls with abundant microfauna, diversified and well preserved, wherein the species *Guembellitrioides nuttalli* appears near the top at the Sj.12 sample. Above this, there are 30 m of gray marls richer in carbonates where new species of planktic foraminifera are observed from the sample Sj.14, including the marker *Globigerinatheka kugleri*.

#### 4.2. Biodiversity of benthic and planktic foraminifera

The systematic study of the foraminifera shows that they are numerous and diversified (Figs. 2 and 4, Table 1). In sample Sj.3, the planktic foraminifera were numerous: 23 species, 7 belonging...
to the genera Morozovella, 8 Acarinina, 5 Subbotina, 1 Chiloguembelina and 2 Pseudohastigerina. At 47 meters above the base, at the level of Sj.9 the species belonging to the genera Morozovella and Acarinina are dominant. In the sample Sj.14, about 114 m above, planktic foraminifera again become numerous and diversified, reaching a maximum of 30 taxa; in addition, the spherical forms (Globigeriniforms) predominate over the keeled forms (16 of 12).

Benthic foraminifera show greater species diversity than planktic and infaunal species clearly predominating over epifaunal species (Figs. 3 and 4, Table 1). In the sample interval Sj.1–Sj.7, the number of benthic foraminifera species clearly exceeds that of planktic foraminifera. It is almost double in the sample Sj.3 where there are 45 taxa of benthic foraminifera, the maximum amount and the number of planktic taxa is equal to 23. In the same sample, benthic foraminifera comprise 33 infaunal species for only 12 epifaunal. In Sj.7–Sj.11 interval, species richness of planktic foraminifera bottoms out in the Sj.9 sample at 12 species, while benthic foraminifera remain abundant and nearly triple in number (37 species). In this same sample Sj.9, agglutinated forms reach their maximum (13 taxa). In Sj.11, the number of benthic foraminifera falls to 23 taxa of which 16 are infaunal species and 7 are epifaunal. This decline in benthic foraminifera continues throughout the Sj.11–Sj.15 interval where they reach their minimum, 21 taxa in Sj.15, which is a consistent predominance of infaunal species (14 infaunal and 7 epifaunal). It is remarkable that in this interval the state of preservation of foraminifera has been much degraded: tests become fragmented, ferruginized and dissolved.

However, for the planktic foraminifera the percentages are reversed at the last samples (Sj.14 and Sj.15). Indeed, the number of planktic foraminifera progressively overtakes that of the benthic foraminifera; moreover, from Sj.11, the conditions change and the number of planktic foraminifera increases (15 species) then peak at 30 in sample Sj.14. Therefore, in this interval the planktic foraminifera thrive, proliferate and diversify after a crisis whereas the benthic foraminifera maintain an average value of 22 species. However, this value is less relevant than the initial value (Fig. 4; Table 1). The ratio PLF/PLF + BF roughly follows the shape of the curve of planktic foraminifera and indicates an index of oceanity (see Bellier et al., 2010: Fig. 11), which reaches a minimum value of 24.48% in Sj.9. The maximum value of this indicator is 56.6% in Sj.14. In the interval Sj.7–Sj.9 agglutinated forms are very abundant and reach their maximum values (Fig. 4; Table 1). The association of benthic foraminifera is a mixture of Midway and Velasco types (Berggren and Aubert, 1975). The main Midway type species are Cibicidoides alleni, C. succedens, Anomalinoideas acuta, A. midwayensis, Lenticulina midwayensis and Anomalina dani. Meanwhile the main Velasco type species are: Nuttalides truempyi,
Fig. 2. Vertical distribution of planktic foraminifera at the Y/L boundary in the Sejnen section.
Fig. 3. Vertical distribution of benthic foraminifera at the Y/L boundary in the Sejnen section.
Anomalinoides rubiginosa, Gyroidinoides subangulatus and Gaudryina pyramidata.

4.3. Evolution of clay assemblages and chemical elements content

Mineralogical and chemical analysis of the clays indicates the abundance of kaolinite, illite and smectite with a high crystallinity. In Fig. 5 and Table 2, we can see that the content of clay minerals varies throughout the Y–L interval. In the sample interval Sj.3–Sj.7, smectite and illite have relevant contents with a peak of 51.80% for the smectite in Sj.5 and 24.87% for the illite, respectively. Kaolinite is very abundant with a maximum content of 64.8% in Sj.3 and a minimum of 23.31% in Sj.5. The kaolinite/smectite (K/S) ratio is low with a rate which varies from 2.00% to 0.89%. Therefore, at the base of the section, smectite and illite are less abundant and have lower contents than the kaolinite. In the Sj.8–Sj.13 interval, kaolinite is present with a content which varies from a maximum of 18.80% and a minimum of 8.14%. Smectite is very abundant, almost constant with a maximum of 86.93% in Sj.10, but we note that it falls slightly (to 57%) at Sj.12. In the same sample Sj.12, we note a small peak at 22% of kaolinite between two lower values. Illite behaves in two distinct ways: it is very weak in the first half
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale (m)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Kaolinite %</th>
<th>Smectite %</th>
<th>Illite %</th>
<th>Kaolinite/Smectite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sj3</td>
<td>64.80</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Sj5</td>
<td>23.31</td>
<td>51.80</td>
<td>24.87</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Sj7</td>
<td>43.54</td>
<td>48.98</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Sj8</td>
<td>18.80</td>
<td>80.20</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Sj9</td>
<td>18.55</td>
<td>80.53</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Sj10</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>86.93</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Sj11</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Sj12</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Sj13</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>82.36</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Sj13'</td>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>79.86</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Sj13''</td>
<td>21.24</td>
<td>76.93</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Sj13'''</td>
<td>39.43</td>
<td>58.66</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Sj14</td>
<td>76.97</td>
<td>21.03</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Sj15</td>
<td>70.99</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>16.98</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. In the Sj.11–Sj.14 interval, carbonate rates increase with a maximum occurring in sample Sj.13 (CaCO₃ = 66.22%) and also the SO₃ rate (0.14%). However, in the same interval, the contents of SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and K₂O decrease albeit, remaining relatively high, while the Fe₂O₃ content remains almost constant. In the last sample Sj.15, the contents of all elements decrease except for the MgO and SO₃, which show an abnormal increase (Fig. 5; Table 3).

5. Discussion

5.1. Planktic foraminifera biostratigraphy

At the Sejnen section, based on planktic foraminifera we identified the following biozones of Wade et al. (2011): the E6 Zone, whose base is not represented in the Sejnen section and whose upper limit is the last appearance of the species Morozovella formosa, which coincides with the first appearance of Acarinina cuneicamerata; the E7a Zone or A. cuneicamerata Zone, whose top is defined by the first appearance of the species T. frontosa (which first appears in Sj.5, 15 m from the base of the section); the E7b Zone or T. frontos Zone, whose base is defined by the first appearance of the species T. frontosa and the top by the first appearance of the species G. nuttalli at the sample Sj.12 located at 83 m from the base; the E8 Zone or G. nuttalli Zone, whose base is defined by the first appearance of the species G. nuttalli; its top was not found because there is the association of both G. kugleri and Morozovella aragonensis species in the sample Sj.14 located about 114 m from the base, so the upper part of the zone E8 is missing. With regard to the E9 Zone or G. kugleri/M. aragonensis Zone, the base of this zone was not found suggesting a hiatus in the boundary E8/E9 highlighted by the simultaneous existence, from the base, of the species markers, M. aragonensis and G. kugleri (Pearson et al., 2006; Wade et al., 2011; Vandenbergh et al., 2012) (see Plate 1).
We correlated the planktic foraminiferal biozonations of Berggren et al. (1995), Molina et al. (2011) and Wade et al. (2011) (Fig. 6) and compared the present findings with those of Pearson et al. (2006), Bernaola et al. (2006), Payros et al. (2009a, 2009b), and Vandenberghhe et al. (2012). The Y/L boundary, defined at the first appearance of the nannoplankton B. inflatus, seems to be near the base of E7b Zone. In the upper part of the Sejnen section, we identified a hiatus in the biorstratigraphic interval covering the boundary between biozones E8 and E9. This hiatus is probably of tectonic origin, due to compression that occurred during the transgression of the Eocene, happening in conjunction with the rainfall was very low and the landforms were low; it would dance of smectite indicates a subtropical to tropical origin where the rainfall was very low and the landforms were low; it would dance of smectite indicates a subtropical to tropical origin where the rainfall was very low and the landforms were low; it would
Consequently, the K/S ratio increases to 5.92%. The illite content remains lower, with a trough of 2% at the base of the E9 Zone at sample Sj.14 (Table 2). Therefore, the peaks of kaolinite at the base and at the top of the section indicate increased weathering rates and runoff on the adjacent continent, which in all likelihood were a consequence of increased precipitation and higher temperature and atmospheric CO₂ (Gaucher, 1981). The peaks could also be the result of progressively warmer and
semi-arid climate with humidity, which fluctuated with warm seasons (Robert and Chamley, 1990, 1991; Robert and Kennett, 1992, 1994). These mineralogical variations suggest the climatic conditions: rather warm, tropical to subtropical and humid in northern Tunisia at the Y/L boundary. These warm conditions were probably inherited from the global warming that had already begun in the Paleocene/Eocene (Ortiz, 1995; Ben Ismail-Latrache and Bobier, 1996; Karoui-Yaakoub, 1999, 2006; Thomas et al., 2000; Karoui-Yaakoub et al., 2011; Payros et al., 2012).

Regarding chemical elements, at the base of the E7b Zone (sample interval Sj.7–Sj.9), carbonate rates (CaO and CaCO3) and SO3 decrease and bottom out at the Sj.8 sample. In contrast, the contents of SiO2, Al2O3, Fe2O, MgO and K2O increase with a large peak of silica (SiO2) at the Sj.8 sample. The silica remains abundant throughout the section despite the variation of the contents, which indicates there the consistent presence of relatively coarse detrital materials (Fig. 5). These reflect very wet weather conditions at this time, with rainfall and mechanical alteration which increased in inland areas and were thus similar to many events in the Paleogene carbon cycle perturbation (Thiry, 2000; Schmitz et al., 2001; Schmitz and Pujalte, 2003, 2007; Nicolo et al., 2007; Payros et al., 2012).

In the Sejnen section, planktic foraminifera were highly diversified with a predominance of tropical species of the genera Morozovella and Acarinina; these are indicators of warm waters compared to the cold-waters forms of the genus Subbotina, Chiloumbembina and Pseudohastigerina. In the Sejnen section, there is a drop in species richness of planktic foraminifera similar to that reported in the GSSP of Gorrondatxe precisely at the top of Chron C21r. At the top of the Sejnen section, planktic foraminifera again diversify and the cold seas forms with globular chambers begin to predominate slightly over the keeled forms of warm seas. This would suggest that the climate was rather warm at the Y/L boundary but then cooled somewhat at the lower Lutetian. A slight eustatic sea rise was also recorded above the Y/L boundary but the environment remained bathyal. Benthic foraminifera are very diversified and their association shows the coexistence of Velasco and Midway types and a mayor predominance of infanual forms. An initial drop in the number the benthic foraminifera at the top of the E7b Zone was correlated with that reported at the Gorrondatxe section at the Chron C21r.
Therefore, by correlation with the stratotype of Gorrondatxe, the fall in benthic foraminifera of Sejnen section is located above the boundary Y/L. Just below the Y/L boundary, the calcareous tests of benthic foraminifera underwent a dissolution, whereas the number of benthic foraminifera with agglutinated tests reached a maximum and, therefore, the state of preservation of foraminifera becomes much deteriorated due to diagenesis. The mineralogical results at the Sejnen section are also compared with those of the Gorrondatxe stratotype. At the Sejnen section, smectite is the most abundant mineral in the Y/L boundary, whereas the kaolinite dominates at the base of the Lutetian. In the section of Gorrondatxe, kaolinite is abundant in the whole interval. This comparison would indicate that in the Sejnen section at the Y/L boundary the climate was somewhat tropical to subtropical, the rainfall was lower and that an increase in sea level also probably occurred. The decrease in the number of benthic foraminifera in the middle and the top of the E7b Zone occurs at the same time as the maximum increase in the clay minerals content, especially smectite and illite. This would suggest a warming climate. Therefore, clay minerals, planktic and benthic foraminifera, indicate that at the Y–L interval, the environment was deep and bathyal with a warm climate. Geochemical results confirm once again the similarity between the two sections. Just below the Y/L boundary, the content of carbonates falls whereas the silica content increases. This drop in carbonate is very evident in the stratotype of Gorrondatxe and the abundance of silica and the compounds of Al₂O₃ Fe₂O₃, MgO and K₂O in Sejnen section. Likewise is evident in the same interval of the of Gorrondatxe stratotype which is rich in turbidites.


